The "Unity" Advocated by Modern Revisionists Means Split

Following are extracts from a March 24 statement issued by the Political Committee of the Communist Party of New Zealand. Boldface emphases are ours. — Ed.

THE moves of the leadership of the C.P.S.U. to compel the world Parties to embrace a revisionist line has met with another setback," says the Political Committee of the Communist Party of New Zealand in its March 24 statement on the schismatic March meeting in Moscow.

The main conclusions which the Political Committee of the Communist Party of New Zealand arrived at from the Moscow meeting convened by the leadership of the C.P.S.U. were:

- "1. By attempting to foist this improper meeting upon the world communist movement the organizers have continued to do harm to the cause of communism and the world's working class.
- "2. The communique is an attempt, under cover of soft words and Marxist-Leninist phrases, to create further disunity in the world movement.
- "3. It makes clear that the leaders of the C.P.S.U. (and their supporters in other places) persist in their revisionist ideas and are determined to impose them upon the world movement.
- "4. The practical effect of the meeting is to encourage imperialism to continue its war of destruction in Viet Nam, threaten China with attacks and intensify its ruthless suppression of national-liberation struggle.
- "5. That the world communist movement must stand firm on the ground that an attack on any one socialist country is an attack on all socialist countries and must be met by their combined might. The vital task of the C.P.N.Z. is to strive to win the working class to lead the struggle to stop the U.S. war against socialist Viet Nam and to force the withdrawal of U.S. troops from south Viet Nam.
- "6. That the struggle against revisionism (opportunism) within the world Parties is the road to the revolutionary unity of the movement—the necessary condition for new victories for socialism and the defeat of imperialism with its continuous threat of war."

"From the time the leadership of the C.P.N.Z. first became aware of the world ideological dispute, it has always been in favour of the holding of an international meeting of the world Parties — provided such a meeting was held with the object of reaching ideological unity and not with the object of forcing an organizational split. That is why it has always insisted that such a meeting be preceded by bilateral discussion between the Parties involved in differences."

The statement notes "the determination of the organizers to avoid the proper preparation as laid down in the 81 Parties' Statement. The necessity for bilateral talks between Parties on a free and equal basis is once again spurned and with it any serious attempt to establish a real Marxist-Leninist foundation for building world communist unity."

"Consequently it is necessary to see that the plan outlined in the communique to convene a meeting of the 81 Parties to consider whether an international conference should be held is merely a new revisionist trick to achieve their anti-Marxist-Leninist objectives under the pretence of 'overcoming the differences and strengthening the solidarity of the world communist movement.'"

Referring to the utter failure of the schismatic Moscow meeting, the statement says that the meeting had been changed from one which was to organize and prepare a meeting of world Parties in 1965, to a downgraded "consultative meeting." This was a setback for the revisionist leaders of the C.P.S.U., the organizers of the meeting.

"A second blow was that the meeting itself was forced to recognize that it could not prepare and proceed to convene a conference of world Parties. But it is equally clear from the communique that the organizers have not given up their hopes of imposing their revisionist ideas on the world movement.

"The third and most telling blow to the organizers of the Moscow meeting was struck by world events.

"American bombs were raining down on socialist soil as the representatives of the 19 Parties sat down in Moscow to solve the problems of the world communist movement. . . . In the communique of the meeting it [Viet Nam] is dismissed in a single sentence.

"True, they also issued what is described as a call for 'worldwide public action to support the people of Viet Nam.' But it cannot by any means be described as a clarion call. There were representatives of some eight socialist countries present at the meeting, but there was not a single reference to the fact that acts of war against one socialist country are acts of war against them all. The statement failed to record what has been readily

May 21, 1965 25

forthcoming on other occasions, i.e., that the might of the whole socialist camp will be used to defend the socialist rule sacred to the world's working class—wherever it may be. . . .

"To the imperialists the 19 Parties' statement would come as a welcome reassurance that the Khrushchov line still prevailed in the Soviet leadership and among their supporters in the leadership of other Communist Parties.

"The hollow ring of the communique, despite liberal use of Marxist-Leninist phraseology, would go far to convince the U.S. Government that for the time being at least, an empty barrage of words was the only obstacle their bombers were likely to meet from the quarters that might otherwise have ended their missions of death. . . .

"From Cuba to Viet Nam, and in the interim, the working class has been faced with the manoeuvring of the revisionists in the leadership of the C.P.S.U. Many were temporarily taken in. The capitulation involved in the partial test ban treaty was believed by many to be a big step towards the elimination of the threat of nuclear war. Daily too, the phoney theories on war and peace, peaceful transition and peaceful coexistence with the 'reasonable imperialists' are being exploded by life.

"The actions of Khrushchov and his colleagues towards Albania: the embracing of the revisionist Tito (whose role as a servant of imperialism has become more thoroughly exposed); the arming of India against socialist China; the disruption of the trade union, peace, youth and women's congresses; the attempt to break up the Tokyo conference on A- and H-bombs; the interference through diplomatic channels in the internal affairs of Communist Parties and the encouragement of local revisionists to split Parties adhering to Marxist-Leninist principles — the significance of all these things has become much more widely known and understood. More and more workers are becoming clear that revisionism does not serve their class but the enemies of the working class. As a consequence the Marxist-Leninist parties throughout the world are gaining in prestige and support. This is shown by the resounding defeat of the revisionist Communist Party in Kerala which was supported by the Soviet leadership."

The communique of the meeting was "a complete negation of Marxism-Leninism. It is not the world outlook of dialectical materialism. There is nothing dialectical or materialist about it. It is idealism pure and simple."

"The line of the revisionists on 'unity' leads to the liquidation of the Communist Parties. It transforms them from revolutionary parties of the proletariat into labour parties, social democratic parties, reformist parties. How well this would serve imperialism.

"The 'unity' the revisionists advocate is not the revolutionary unity of the working class which means death to imperialism and colonialism. It is not the unity of the world working class and progressive forces that leads to decisive action against imperialist aggression and war. It is a 'unity' that enforces inaction or sabotages revolutionary action wherever it arises. It is a 'unity' which disarms the working class, a 'unity' in which Marxism-Leninism is to be submerged beneath revisionist ideas which aim at the adaptation of the working class and its Communist Parties to the ideology, economics and politics of capitalism.

"Revisionist 'unity' is disunity. It stifles united action by the working class. It gives the green-light to imperialism to extend its military attacks on socialism and the national-liberation movement and reduce the working-class movement in the capitalist countries to impotency. Revisionist 'unity' is capitalation to imperialism, Again and again life is demonstrating this truth. . . .

"We can confidently say that in the end the designs of the revisionist leaders are doomed to failure. The scientific laws of social development ensure this. The worldwide demonstrations and actions of the working class and progressive forces are providing abundant evidence. The false revisionist theories of peaceful transition to socialism, peaceful coexistence by coming to agreement with 'reasonable imperialists' are being tested in practice and found wanting. The victory of Marxism-Leninism is inevitable."