## Dear-Peter:

## April 27. 1982

Yours of 23rd, received yesterday, was a very welcome response not just/the pointsraised in my letter, but the grasp you journalism. Indeed, it gave me the idea of an immediate andutionary for you to grite the lead for the next issue on the diate assignment: sante time I wish to comment on the question of "" 3 be Middle Last. "At the

Incating the difficulty, pinpointints jit as presenting, not 3 books seriatim so to speak, but "as motaijty" j.s the problem. So, how about saying something like this: where M\&F, 1957, ended with Automation and "Today"; and whers p\&re the link of continuity was Abolitionism 3rd World as well as WLM and Youth, RL. With the challenee from the continuity, the 1880 s trail to the 1980 s , ends seeing the link of ALL 3 GOT TO THE CONCRETE WITHOUT LOSING, The HEW IN EACH FERIOD AKD IN SEEMS AESTRACT-2ND NEGATIVITY--mOst concretely. Thus, in 1914, wh 1 II discovered reason and the concerete in into opposite"--whihh MisF captured for in that abstraction-.. transformation wi.th Absolute Idea AS NEW BEGINNING. 1950s, PecR both began and ended it deals only with revolutionaries who yet didn as concrete, led us ist tiffe that the GREAT DIVTDEnetrate znd negativity Divide not only didn't emersin their age but DIVIDE, the SECOND Great with state-capitalist theory but on age, but in our age, at least not finalily a covement from practice only with total philosophy, $M-H$ when made imperative our task, not of that was itself a form of theory first more arduous task because it is an ongoin philosophy, but its greater,

See what you can do with that dy making it briefer, more lucid, shorter sentences, and cut to the measure of what specific

