

KARL MARX by Karl Korsch, 1938

p.21 "Behind Hegel, as Marx said, stood the Eng. & Fr. of the 18th c. with their new discoveries of the structure & movement of society who, in their turn, reflected the real his. dev. which culminated in the Ind. Rev. in England after the middle of the 18th c. & in the great Fr. Rev. of 1789-1815."

Cf. ftn. 32 Cap., Vol. I

p.32 ftn. "It is interesting to note in this connection that Marx introduced the term 'value' as distinct from 'value in ex.' into the statement of his theory AS LATE AS 1859 while he had not used it in an otherwise identical exposition of argument in 1859. He did so, in the writer's opinion, mainly for the sake of clarifying the more detailed critical exposure of the Fetishism of Commodities which was now added to the 1st ch. of CAP. to the earlier statement of the theory as contained in CR."

(RD: RT., BUT THEN IT MEANS THE EXACT OPPOSITE OF WHAT HE SAYS: YOU're trying to prove about his being free of Hegel) he has now recreated

THINGS AS RETIFICATION WHICH IS A LEAP FROM

HEGEL ENTIRELY OTHERWISE THAN YOU IMAGINE! For ex. it is not only apparent, but real (notional), when you go on to say p.34: "By an apparently notional dev. (in the best Hegelian style) of the various connotations of the classical term of 'value', he in fact disclosed the real social nature of the (fundamental human relations) underlying the socalled 'value' of the classicalists.")

Mx to FE 1858 re value

p.111 His E-P MSS (Holy Family) anticipated all the critical & rev. conclusions which were later embodied in Capital.... For ex. he disposed of the socio-eco.phenomenon which he was later to solve in a rational way in his critical exposure of the "Fetishism of Commodities" by the reference to the then most fashionable Hegelian term of "human self-alienation."

NB NB NB: Marx's 1847 Brussels lectures on Wage-Labor & Cap., Mx published in his rev. paper DURING the 1848 revolutions (NEUE REINISCHE ZEITUNG

5/4/11-4/49 reprinted MEGA)

Cf. ftn in Capital on Taiping revolt: "one will remember how Ch. & the rebels began to dance when the rest of the world appeared to stand still pour encourager les autres."

p.130 ftn. "A careful distinction bet. the earlier & later, the final & preliminary statements of Marx has a particular imp. for the subj. under discussion as just here the further dev. of the Marxian thought has continuous remained in a state of flux. Thus, in the CR. of 1859, the 1st ch. on 'Commodity' which presents the earlier version of the later 1st ch. of Capital was ONLY IN THE LAST MOMENT added to the rough draft, which instead had only contained a section on 'Value'. Against the sparse references in the CR. to the 'Retification of the commodity' which appears in the ex.v'water only in the last revision of the text of Capital enlarged to with the ind. examination of the Fetish... which now form the concluding section of the 1st ch. of 1st v. of Capital."

W. P. R. E. P. p.135 "From the critical exposure of the fetishism inherent in the commodity 1. v. (rd re CM) there was but one step on the discovery controversy of the most general form of the eco. 'fetishism appearing in the commodity' itself.... the fetishism of commodity 1p is at this stage for theoretical purposes regarded as a mere DERIVATIVE of the more general fetishism which is contained in commodity itself... thus the Marxian criticism of the existing order is transformed from a particular attack on the class character into a universal attack on the fundamental deficiency of the capitalistic mode of prod. & the structure of society based upon it. By revealing (all) eco. categories to be mere fragments of one great fetish did Marx ultimately TRANSCEND all preceding forms & phases of eco. & social theory, even the most advanced classical economists remained under the spell of that same fetish which they had already practically dissolved by their own theoretical analysis, or fell back into it, BECAUSE they had never succeeded in extending their critical analysis to their GENERAL FUNDAMENTAL FORM which appears in the value (form)... in the commodity form itself. The great theoretical arts of cap here met its historical barrier.... Mx was 1st to represent the fundamental character of the bourgeois mode of prod. as the particular (his) stage of material prod. whilst SOCIAL FORM is reflected severally, (in a 'fetishistic' manner both) in the practical concepts of the ordinary man of business (in the scientific reflection of that 'normal' bourgeois consciousness). Pol. Econ. (Fetishism) is the quintessence of the eco. theory of CAP. & the most explicit & most exact definition of the theoretical & his. standpoint of the whole materialistic science of society."

(rd) Again here Korsch takes away the greatness of his own explanation, by stressing it is empiric study, etc. & no "Hegelian wizardry", which of course is true but tells you nothing at all since the facts too were available.

but only came to mean fetishism by the rel., deep ingrained rel. of Hegelian dialectics with this!"

(cf. Mr to E 4/30/68 re continuation of final ch. on Class in Vol. II CAP) as yearning to result in the his. event of the rev. class war."

Key to error in Korsch can be seen in reductionism on p.169, top: "Mr's mat.science, being a strictly empirical investigation into definite his. forms of society, does not need a phil.support."

p.179. He was already a materialistic critic of all existing realizations of the State-idea when he reproached Hegel for "proceeding from the State to make man a subj.form of the State" instead of "in the sense of modern dem., proceeding from man to make the state an obj.form of man." He described as early as this "dem."

NB NF NB\*\*\*\*\* again in his PH.D thesis as being rev.materialistic politics,

as being "the general form of the State IN WHICH the formal principle is at the same time the MATERIAL principle," and added the far-reaching remark that "the mod. Fr. have understood this to mean that in true dem. the pol.State must disappear." (Gr. of Hegelian F. of Law) (In the letter to his father Mr stated that he wanted "to

p.179. plunge into the sea once more with a definite intention of finding the nature of mind to be just as necessary, concrete, tightly rounded as the nature of physics."

Korsch then admits Hegel introduced the "empirical attitude of the scientist" into not only mind but also

It was JUST THIS fact which

ian says this was temporary:

a direct & rational way

those material connection bet. men & things, which formed the real contents hidden under apparent speculative connection of ideas....

From Holy Family: "He does nothing, it possesses no immense wealth, it fights no battles; It is rather man, real living man who does everything, who possesses, and fights; it is not His which uses man as a means to carry out its ends as if it were a separate person, but it is nothing besides the activity of man in the pursuit of his ends."

Korsch is good on nature society in showing that nature was always his social & human as these worked on nature that is--can be used against J-RS) consult p.235

Need w/phil. suffice.  
but plant thought & perception  
Life showed differently  
within borders

12 Gen 3pm  
6 Reg  
Tenn Rep. program

*1982*  
*Marx's Capital*

Norsch, p.76: A NEW TYPE OF GENERALIZATION  
of existing society i.e., the real, social & their substantive contents

conceptual form, and the as yet unformed substance of a new prol.soc.-ist

"becoming" was opposed to the fully determined forms of existing bourgeois "being". This is one of the "materialistic" tendency of the new, rev.science of society."

*Marx's Capital*  
*1982*

p.113: re difference bet.WL&C, given in Brussels lecture, 1847  
in CAP: The most conspicuous difference is that Marx in his earlier work  
does not yet start from the analysis of "COMMODITIES" in general but from a  
particular kind of commodity - wage-labour, from the opp. bet. the 2 main classes  
of mod. capitalistic society which directly springs from the appearance of that  
commodity - WL&C last scientific exposition - unsurpassed in trenchancy power even  
by Mr's own later formulae... (here fetishes - rdNO NOT HERE)  
after Part I

p.128: From now on the labor process, or what is according to Marx but  
another name for the same thing, the process of material prod.

SOURCE OF  
NORCH, rd

both in its material & his aspects, constitutes the subj.matter  
of the eco-theory of CAPITAL... Capital is only nominally (nominally)  
the subj.of Mr's new eco/theory. Its real theme is labor ...

*Marx's Capital*  
*1982*

p.129: According to Mr the most general category within the realm of economics  
is no longer "value" or the "quantity of value" but the value-form of the product  
of labor, or the form of commodity itself. D. f. m. b.

p.130: the specific mark by which  
bourgeois mode of prod. as a particular  
FORM of social prod. The TRANSITION from  
the one concept (bourgeois value) to the other (value-form) which is implied  
in the WHOLE of Mr's eco.work is explicitly made in Fetish Character!!!!  
fms

THIS IN CR... commodity was only in the last moment added to  
the rough draft which, instead, HAD ONLY CONTAINED A SECTION ON VALUE...  
even in CAP., only in first revision of text enlarged to form the ind. examination

FETISHISM IS "ONLY SCIENTIFIC EXPRESSION FOR THE SAME THING THAT HE HAD  
DESCRIBED EARLIER AS HUMAN SELF ALIENATION WHICH HAD INDUDED FORMED THE REAL  
CONDITION FOR THIS PARTICULAR CALL... WHICH AWAKENS THE HEGELIAN "IDEA" AT  
A DEFINITE STAGE OF ITS SPECULATIVE DEV.

IA: in CM: "resolved personal worth into ex.v."

1982  
Marx's Capital

The money form

A money form

1982  
Marx's Capital  
The money form

It is also a form of exchange

It is also a sign

But which form  
What was alienated labor  
1844. Capital

1844. Capital

1844. Capital

1844. Capital

1844. Capital

1844. Capital