THEORY and PHACTICE. History of a Concept from Aristotle by Nicholas Lobkowicz (University of Notro Dates 1967)

Of the three parts - I., "Materials for Prodistory"; Il. Grow Hegel to Moses Hess; III. Marx -- only part MI. has special relevance. However, there is great interest in the fact that this scholar who is a Thomist and, naturally, anti-Marxist, actually employs materialist analyses, especially where it relates to secular debates. Thus, in part I., as he tries to prove that it was not during middle-sect acholasticism that actence was discovered to be writes ("Bacon as a thinker who systematized and related to a (method) the mentality charupteristic of Remaissance artigate and artisan scientists. As we shall try to show, this pragmatic program did not marge with the ideas of the fouriers of the modern scientific mentality, and in fact, under the impact of "Cartesian" rationalism all but completely disappeared during the seventeen and even though his thilosophy at coince is radically inferior to that of fallee and Newton, or over Descartes, is that Bacon succeeded in actinuity which had existed the breaks through of solern science, but which had existed the four succeeded in and not metality which had existed the four succeeded in a scientific and the program do a succeeded in eightwonth centuries. In other words, what we want to suggest is that the main reason why Eacch appears to us an unusually modern' mind. even though his thilosophy at occience is radically inferior to that of failies and Newton, or over Descartes, is that Bacon succeeded in articulating a montality which had existed the four science in addern science, but which had or created by the latter's fourtesian' self-interpretion and did not re-emorge until this Cartesian' spirit of modern science entered a period of crisis in the nineteenth century." (p. 92)

of modern science entered a period of crisis in the nineteenth century." (p. 92) The author then goes be to shot that there were important technologies innovations in the thousand years between the end of antiquity and the modern period, streshing further that "the unsarthing of from resources." in Northern Europe, and the shift of the centers of Occidental civilizetion from the Mediterranean area to countries north of the Alpean was what produced the Italian remaisance but that freel humanism" had started long before then in Church doctrine. "In fact, it was Whristianity, not antiquity, that prepared the ground for the modern notion of progress." (p. 98)

So anxious is Lobkowicz to prove his point that he actually attributes, in "perfect" dialoctical materialist style that Bacon's thought relates to that of artistas. ("One will have to add that there was at least one group in Renaissance society which had begun to be aware of a group which was in close touch with technology and technolog fical progress and, last but not least, introduced into European conture the presentio tone which today strikes us so much in Francis Bacon - the artistant (p. 106) "Many decades before the homenists" began to speak about progress, such men argued that whatever man does should 'better wherever there is something to be bettered', develop

Which Francis Bacon articulated into a program for fevolutionizing the which Francis Bacon articulated into a program for fevolutionizing the whole of science.... Rather, his greatness consists in having made explicit the cell understanding of an emerging industrial and capitalist society." (p. 107) And he even goes sofar as to say that what the scientists and philosophers expressed had first of all developed "in the minds of common_people"

14481

Still in Part I. the author proceeds, in a materialist way, in relating the rationalist reaction to the actual material conditions, showing also, how var, that the new interest in "pure and realistic theory", characteristic of the 17th century founders of madarn astronomy and characteristic of the 17th century founders of madarn astronomy and physics "was due to their re-discovery of theolate truth dn the study physics "was due to their re-discovery of theolate truth dn the study of nature". (p. 116) This felating of absolute to material holds over, of nature". (p. 116) This felating of absolute to material holds over, also, to an analysis of Degartes: "Thus the Cartesian exclation of also, to an analysis of Degartes: "Thus the Cartesian exclation of insthematical theorizing is paralleled by a virtually unprecedented insthematical theorizing is paralleled by a virtually unprecedented intrationalism and, to some extent, scepticism in the practical order. By reducing all knowledge to one kind Descartes commits 'himself to a 'hy reducing all knowledge to one kind Descartes consite 'himself to a 'hy reducing all knowledge to one kind Descartes consite 'himself to a 'hy reducing all knowledge to one is irrelevent;" (p. 119)

The fun comes in at the end of this first part which mus with Kant when he even tries to bring in "the ideas of the young Marx are the isst outcome of two peculiar self menfidence and the <u>new practical humanism</u>' so admirably formulated in Pico'D oration, a summary of the whole remainsance intent and, in fact, an expression of the basic intent?

PART II: FROM HEGEL TO MOSES HESS

_2.

The author begins by quoting Hegel's convept of Ought as against Kant's: "The standpoint of Kantian philosophy is that, by its reasoning, thought has reached the point of grasping itself as absolute and concrete, as free and ultimate. It grasped itself as that which is everything in all things. It aggs no exterior authority; no authority except that of thought is of any value. Accordingly, thought determines itself and thus is concrete."

In his own Thomist way, the author is so anti-Hegelian -- though much of him "steals" -- that he speaks about the accusation, as if it ware only by "others" that Hegel had an "almost **reprint stating**, instead: presumptuousness" regarding Absolute Knowledge, himself stating, instead: "What Hegel aids is no more than the adoquate self-knowledge of these "What Hegel aids is no more than the adoquate self-knowledge of these "What Hegel results: he adds the scientific form of senceptual thought.") historical results: he adds the scientific form of senceptual thought." (p. 157)See P. 176 for Hegel's criticism of the Enlightenment but I am not sure why the whole chapter is called "The Kingdom of God".

The one new thing about the young Hegelians is the introduction of the lesser known Polish left Hegelian, Cieszkáwská, whom, however, he thoroughly overestimates, especially as it relates to the supposed influence of Cieszkowski's concepts of absolute and Practic on Marx.

14482

Theory and Practice, History of a Concept from Aristotle to Marx, by Nicholas Lobkowicz, University

P. 241: Philosophy throws its eyes away 'because its heart has become strong enough to create a world'. ' As Marx puts it in another passage. once spirit has reached a derinite level of universality, and thus also of [freedom] it turns into 'energy'.) It becomes will which turns on a reality still deprived of spirit. (MEGA, 64) 'If one denies this historical necessity, one has to deny that after a universal philosophy men can continue to live,' (MEGA, 132) that is, to be creative philosophers."

to be creative philosophers."

P.242: One has to separate Hegel's private consciousness frout his (System from the objective consciousness expressing itself (1) his system. . Marx expresses this in terms of a distinction between 'exoteric' and exoteric'; whereas Hegel's interpretation of his system is 'chatty and exoteric'; the system itself is the highest expression of the 'constantly digging mole of true philosophical knowledge.' (MEGA 1.1/1.143)

P. 243: Ruge simply believed that after Hegelianism has been freed from its reactionary elements, one can proceed to is actualization without further ado. Marx, for the contrary, realized that the very essence of Hegelianism consisted in its 'totality character,' that is, in its claim that it is absolutely all-embracing. Accordingly he concluded, [contrary to Ruge] that all attempts to translate Hegelianism into practice are 'essentially afflicted with contradiction."....

For he has to admit that in the extent to which the world becomes philosophical, that in the extent to which the world becomes philosophical, philosophy becomes worldly; that the actualization of philosophy simultaneously to its loss. What philosophy fights outside actually is its own inner shortcoming. It succeeds to the defects which it fights; indeed, Atcannot abolish these defects except by succumbing to them. (MEGA 1,1/1, 64 ff; P. 244) . P. 244; Granted, too, that the actualization of Hegel's Absolute. Knowledge entails a double-edged criticism;) the critique has to turn both on the world and against Hegel. There remains the problem that the salvific character of Cieszkowski's 'practice' as well as of Bauer's 'critique' depends upon their being <u>effluences</u> of Absolute Knowledge. . . . He is convinced that 'the praxis of philosophy is itself theoretical.

<u>P. 245</u> It is the critique which measures individual existence against the essence, particular reality against the Idea. (MEGA, 64)

P. 272: "Just as old nations witnessed their orghistory in imagination, in mythology, we Germans have witnessed our posthistory inthought. In philosophy. We are philosophical contemporaries of the present without being its historical contemporaries. German philosophy is the ideal peolongation of German history." (MEGA, 1/1/1, 612, tr.Bottomore, 49.)

14483

 \underline{F} 273:) Of course, to negate philosophy cannot mean to mutter a 'few angry and banal phrases"; . . . It can mean only that one ought to transcend theory toward action. "you cannot abolish philosophy except by actualizing it."

P. 2

<u>P. 276</u>: In short, whereas all other left Hegalians considered praxis as an effluence of Absolute Knowledge, Marx discovered that ively might be an almost "ontological" development on the part of history.) If present society contained a group powerful enough to transform the world, and if this group was to accept the critique as its program of action, there it man possible to argue that history had destined this group for being the worlds withing to savior. In that case, bowers,

eringi)

P. 294: ... the Manuscripts revealed a Marx whose dialectic was as supple as that pf the Phenomenology, who struggled with Hegel on properly <u>speculative grounds instead</u> of only turning him "upside down", and who made profound statements about the interrelation of economics and philosophy as well as about the "alienation" of human products from their originator, the worker. Rereading has Kapital, one could see that behind the sober economic analyses there, was hidden a highly speculative idea about the meaning of man and his universe. Rereading Marx's later definitions of his "historical materialism," one began to realize that they were congealed postscripts to an earlier "humanistic"

Between November, 1843 and February, 1845, he devoured all the contemporary literature on economic problems on which he could get his hands. He had to restrict himself to books available in French and German; his English was still not very good. But even so he read more than ten thousand pages, a remarkable undertaking if one considers that during the same time he wote the Manuscripts and spont more than two months composing the Holy Family.

P. 398: Thus, whereas Feurbach remained a figure of a drama written by Hegel, Marx succeeded in truly rewriting Hegel's drama of the "spirit Valienated from itself." . . . Marx's "alienation." on the contrary, like that which Hegel had in mind, is an utterly real phenomenon, an alienation of man's whole existence, against which all 'enlightenment' is impotent.

P. 424: In short, Feurbachis materialism constantly overlook history, the fact that the empirical foundations from which derive all human ideas have been created byman himself. As far as Feurbach is a materialist, history does not exist for him, and insefar as he considers (history, he is not a materialist. (Ibid, 43 ff.; tr Pascal 35 ff)

P. 418: In other words, the fact that men are dependent upon their circumstances and nevertheless constantly succeed in overthrowing them yean only mean that human practice by its very nature leads to and results. All an overthrow of existing circumstances and the creation of new and less limited ones. This is why the German Ideology Marx without further ado Mentifies "material practice" and "revoluting," (SIMEW III, 38 tr. Pascal, 28 ff. where there is a clear parallel between "explaining practice from the idea" and "criticism as the formation of history," on the one hand and "explaining the formation of ideas from material practice," and revolution as the moving force of history, on the other hand.) 14484

