FI, June 1940 , "Once Again, Lenin and Luxemburg" by Welter Held

" A Word about the Biographer"(This is a critique of Froelich's RL, the German edition published in France 1939. It is an attack on PF.)

"Is There a Decisyive Contrast between VIL and RL" : There is a footnote Which refers to VIL's "12 Years" and tries to play down any difference VIL in Troduced into his "What Is To Be Done".

"RL's Theory of Sponteneity", in which he claims that she HMEXES "did confine the tasks of the party to agitation and propaganda. The rest she left to the masses. As against the VIL formulation of the tasks organize the revolution — she stands much closer to the Menshevik slogan (the slogan of the revolutionary Mensheviks of 1905, not that of the government Mensheviks of 1 9 17) — Unleash the revolution. "

"The Spartacus Uprising" : "Rosa's political mistake of Jan. 1919,] so catastrophic for the German Movmment".

He blames her for the defeat even though whom he quoted is Froelich not RL.

P. 50: "Spirtacus, on the other hand, in accordance with its conception, disclaimed the task of controlling and leading the mass movement; fell victim to revolutionary dilettantism of the left GSBB leaders of the ZMM Ledebour type ..."

"RL's Theory of Acc. of Capital."

Partv?"

ł.

11 -

"Is RL's Theory of Acc. the Basis of her Assonception of the

14309

but They san par, for most might · M-H but wher is a per these more real - He Carden - 12 A.J. the most and free One musice a he most and the safes My gray San Will Grace Chin fee who errise The Fix alow moren win him and does her lust to as y she more black Sicher les he Quar & my - o Riphong 20 parale to the que most for fell grally suffer-star and -> As anyour when has near ear a pyte issue 1 Md shull per - monthing Chied Will the cla no men men s One et the 9J) in the 14310