SELECTED BLITICAL WRITINGS of Rosa Luxemburg, edited by Dick Howard, Review Press, 1971 "WWW Sector Fit Monthl Eltud Im Lert" from the This awful "editor"(!) is a typical example of the new young tert" ODE, who think anything in their head is "Theory" and just as they br and just as they brought in Socialisme ou Barbario as "new" and take advantage of knowing some languages so with the greatest arrogance, they talk down to such great revolutionaries so with the greatest arrogance, they talk down to such great revolutionaries as RL, tho they take full adv. of the fact that it is thru publ. of her work that they get a name and money (I believe the money from this book went to Radical America) and as if that nevertheless made them independent — in-dependently Stalinist. The SWP edition has a much more comprehensive grouping of RL's works and the only advantage I can see is that he is the only one. The only advantage of going thru who has RL/s talk of women's suffrage.) The only advantage of going thru there auch ontroined is to get the **manuality** concept of theory among with these awful empiricists. . 14 Eupposedly, according to his very first page (p.9) having grown this past decade B"practical reflection" which the now (1970) produced " attempts to decade a"practical reflection" theorize the new practice. He then proceeds to reduce her theoretical work to "practical, tectical, measures which she developed for always situation (practical, tectical, measures which she developed fore always situation (practical, the development of capitalism has long sine passed mem by In her eves her theoretical work was nothing but a consequent application of the met method, which had enable Marx to uncover the secrets of the capitalist dial. on a 11 he had the gall once again to say that she never undertook a serious sethodoly an analysis of the basim of say that the ridiculous feature is the first that cites the one exception <u>acc. of Capital</u>, which he has never bothered to reproduce at all the section of it, and Turther refers you the bothered to reproduce at all of the section on it, and further refers you to Broch collek was if that were the perfect answer wheaeas he is the most mechanic of all that one sentence about not undertaking "a serious methodological analy he then roduces her to intuition "RL's political writings show an intuitive inderstanding of the Marxian dialectic." (and this stupidity has is its. where he attributes such a thesis to Lukacs, which is the exact opposite of wh where he attributes such a thesis to Lukacs, which is the exact opposite of wh the final goal of the prof. movement, accuration, is a feecessity. The necessity of the final goal of the prof. movement, accuration, is a feecessity. The necessity of the final goal of the prof. movement, accuration, is a processity. The necessity the stand the present as aprocess of becoming." [This is pure Telos kind of dialectics, in other words, lit stops with subjective purpose instead of neg of nea-and this again shows itself in his concept of "totalities] Again, this is burdened by a fin. p.12, about " hierglyphics" of application, and side with what that methodology to them, though they attack the social dem. and side with Lukacs, stops at purpose, which is synthetic cognition SNB2 The quotes RL on cause and effect changing places and the totality of the concept of a whole period of class struggle of which the mass strike is the phenomenon. (See the quotations from Mass Strike pp237 of this book) The book has 5 barts, with each currying an introduction and it's this we Against Revisionism and Opportunism which takes up the speeches in 1899-79 and the Social Reform or Hevn pamphlet and quarties of m ilitarian. 1\* Jen here is how he introduces it: v. why m art Clipson 14

14247 1) He does admit that the reason RL's work on Reform or Rev, is outstanding is because she went to the theoretical roots and not just Bernstein's violati (p.36) and that's very nearly all of his introduction, except that it where the (1.36) and that's very nearly all of his introduction, except that it was introduced by reforming present in the soc. dan. (1. concerns itself with Tactics and has material on the 8 hr. day, and this 6 is where any has the fourn's suffrage article and an excerpt from Mage Strika; in a word to don't have the 1905 revn at all. So how would one know the movement from practice in the mass Striko? Here is how he introduces this get section: The practice in the mass striker here is now he introduces this or section: In correctly saving that spontaneism was not the way it has been interproted; certainly was not acarchist Blanquist, he comes to the conclusion that is rather ridiculous 1.163-1" more surprising may be the non-enragees position taken by RL."/ The speech on woman suffrage, he says, "Is Interesting', since she inevertifized to write for the women's paper. And he certainly doesn't tray to Down resolve the contradictions between RLs supposed dis-interest in anything on the Woman ? with the fact that she-was Torever encouraging the women to Tree themselves from anle comination and take an independent position in politics. 11 Nettl and deal with this) .62 é RL; " the element of sponteneity plays such a prominent role in the mass strikes in Russia not because the Russian proletariat is 'unschooled' but because revns allow no one to play schoolmaster to them." , las they proches PTI - Yon Phe Role of the Party "is as superficial a commentary of and and certainly doesn'st tell you what RLEs position was (unfortunately of bad - RD) and ends with a "manifesto" (p.278) : "The reader will (47 recognize this as the view of the Communist Manifesto." It is true that DH has quoted from "What Does the Spartacus League Want?" It is not true that that was her concept of Party with a capital P. Moreover, the only thingshe includes there are from 1904 and 1908. WIA Sordakus was 1918 5919, 1920 The Internations!" is again quite superficial since outside of a section of the important Junius pamphlet (and the second part is likewise from the Junius pamphlet) its appendix of guidelines that were later to be adopted by the Spartacus League, "Either/or one small article on the origins of May Day and one appeal to the proletarians of all countries. Either/or") V- The final section on "The Beginnings of the German Revolution" has a lot of metoric about " the proletariat can learn from this 'defeat' became it such learn" (p.354 ) His ultimate statement (p.355), verify that is important is that the heritage of the past, present revolutions becomes a living part of the present struggle adding to it the experiential depth and consciousness which make the advent of socialism the beginning of a new human history." V- The final section on "The Berinnings has a lot of rhetoric about " the prole **FDT** the advent of socialism the beginning of a new human history. So despite all rhetoric on the masses, we don't see the masses W e see programs. at all. (20) When the men + CCO. 2007 forth - She decorder & which and the