JULY 1984: Special type of convention, KENNARIEMN drew from the 1983 Marx Centenary Tour, with RLWLKM The realization that the new of RLWLKM in hand. regarding the challenge to all post-Marx Marxists had not been projected fully to the members; that, in fact, we all remained so enamored still about the movement from practice that we were still suffering from the failure to fully understand Chapter 1 of P&R, the movement from theory, much less WWXXIMEXXXX the process. the monumental problematic still to be worked out, and what it was that the objective movement demanded of us XXXXXXXX because we had now critically examined the great revolutionaries, which included both Lenin who had dived into philosophy but not on the Party, except politically, which we rejected long ago; Luxemburg, who was a spontane ist, who XXX lacked dialectics XXXX in philosophy, on the National Question, and on Organization. Hence, my reworking the third part of the Perspectives, "Not by Practice Alone".

DEC. 30, 1984: It is while Olga gathers all the writings on WL, directs or indirect, that I decide it is true(as I think it is)that that if/"Not by practice alone" was not fully grasped it certainly will not be seen in WL, when there was' neither a movement nor myself being more than a reporter during the very great strike when I wrote what the miners! wives were doing, Therefore, I must write an Intro. Overview and show there was a dialectic of revolution throughout, and this dialectic of rvolution is so allembracing and all encompassing that even when you are not conscious and it appears only in a single event at first. 1950, that is what must be grasped, though it be post festum. It is at that point, that an Expanded REB is held, where I first XM discussed the dialectic of the

10983

Inton/Overview in detail, and struck a hostile chord in ways the empiricist -- but I don't mean only the the articulator of the hostility, but/empiricism that is in all Americans not excluding M-Hists. Again, we come to the word, projection.

trans. to beginning

That we hope to finish RLWLKM then, was the year of Reagan's ascendency to the Presidency, and the opening of so vast an abyss of retrogressionism that, by now, we not only have run out of adjectives but are on the edge of both Depression and Nuclear War. TXXX To keep from the precipice that worries even the capitalists, we seem to have, on the one hand, some mild steps toward some sort of arms control, and at the same time, the scandals and deeper involvement to get us into a war far from the shores, and very complex, like the Middel East. ...

In the Executive Session, when we talked of the Dialectics of Leadership, as well as the Self-Bringing Forth of Liberty the question of process and therefore, of method, became so MYNYXXX central XXXXX as we still talked of "the dialectic of the party" rather than the new title, that the impression may have been given that Methodology, when it's absolute is the Idea. BUT IT ISN'T. What may have given that impression is the stress on the need to become practicing dialecticians.

5/28/8-

(3)

The key, then, is imbedded in the drive to MINKE paths.

than Workshop-Classes, to becoming practicing dialecticians.

In 1986 it was definitely spelled out as the test MINHYMANNE REMARKANCE.

needed to respond speedily to shifting objective events. The question is, How is that related to the new title of the Book --
Dialectics of Organization and Philosophy: The Party and Forms ofd Organization Born out of Spontaneity?

Now let's get to the reality of the single dialectic in in philosophy, in organization, no matter what its form, in paper, no matter what its frequency. The real point is the form, and this is meant not as a contrast to content, but form as a Universal directly related to philosophic moments:

did

What distinguishes us from any other paper? How was that form as well as its soul -- Marxist-Humanism -- result in abolishing the distinction between a theoretic organ and a popularization in a newspaper form? And WNAIX how did that change the relationship between inside and outside?

Worker-intellectual; theory-practice articles; with each form have a little of the other in it; Readers' Views, national/international; spontaneous actions as Leads as well as editorial type of leads.

ESTIGETIVE TYXES WELLX EXIMAL WIND WIND INDIVIDUALITY is collectivity, and it isn't only in Perspectives.