

Postscript to Random Thoughts

9/30/86

I called this postscript, though what I'm postscripting are the notes on Dialectics of Liberation of 9/29/86, which I did not dictate in sentences. The point was that ^{the} 1947-53 ^{are} what I'm working on now on Dialectics of Organization and Philosophy showed that the ~~same~~ long, tortuous road of the two decades between 1953 and 1973.

ER

P&R, illuminated altogether new dimensions, ~~that even though~~ they were in there somewhere ^{they} had not been singled out as determinants. Thus,

1) Though the European trip, especially the 1959 one, was finally recognized as being critical to the ~~main~~ whole Archives; (though it had not been included before Mike found all those new points) it ^{actually} had not even ^{here (V. 12)} ~~made itself~~ integral to the point of Africa and the Dialectic. And yet, 1947 ^{was} the Camerounian; (and, as we now know Frantz Fanon was at the Young Socialist Conference that was breaking from Trotskyism ^{at + ...} and which I addressed); and, though in 1959 the meeting with Dixon Colley who ^{called} my meeting in London and brought both South Africa and the Gambia ^{addressed} todayness--and indeed this ~~is~~ ^{was} mentioned, ~~but it~~ nevertheless remained in a separate compartment. And finally and most important, the Black Dimension in the U.S. through the very nearly endless years turned out to

10785

grad. "stream" by the consciousness of reality to Mike when still in the

as one of Random Thoughts

Black Dimension
Black Africa as well
as Hegel
uncharted
territory

MH

be the only ^{forces} ~~one~~ that in those turbulent 1960's, when none wanted to listen to ^{the} theory, was ^{what} ~~the one~~ in the form of our own Black/Red Conference, where I presented P&R, before it was P&R.

Now the listing ~~pp~~ p. 1 of 9/22/85 (perhaps because it is ^{divided} sentences and I only spoke ~~out~~, or did I speak sentences and didn't know it?) doesn't give ~~me~~ the impression now that it has ^{what} I wanted to say about it. Turning Points singling out. Then on ~~page~~ page 2 missing is the three-way dialogue between myself and J and G on philosophy. The fact that it is now finally included ~~in~~ vol. 12 doesn't absolve the way the Dialectic has been presented in relationship to the objective when it's inseparable from what is going on subjectively. For example, I added in handwriting that the ~~series~~ 1948-49 correspondence was actually extended to 1951-¹⁹⁵², when it was a correspondence over where Capital as it related to the structure of the Science of Logic, and I used there the expression "the Absolute was included there by being excluded", adding that it was all directed against Engels' mechanical parallelism between Being, Essence, and Marx's Commodity on Money and Production, with Notion ~~somewhere~~.

10786

In any case, my point was, when I talked, that having correctly concentrated in the 60's for listening and recording the new voices and participating in those events, we still were not when we did return, in 1967, to the classes, fully to Hegel unless we could back it up with Lenin's FN. It all ended by once again "escaping" to an international tour, this time Hong Kong and Japan at the very beginning of the Cultural Revolution and deciding once and for all, that I will not get collaborators for P&R. The whole responsibility is one of MH, and MHism alone. The question now remains why then after 1973 and the birth of P&R,--a whole decade after--and we finally get to the Dialectics of Liberation pamphlet, and still make all those errors about not even listing Philosophy of Mind and the 1953 Letters, not having dates, and most crucial to all, no introduction. ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ I do not want to publish Dialectics of Liberation; we will publish Notes on the Phenomenology of Mind BUT ONLY IF IT HAS AN INTRODUCTION AND ONLY BY ME WITH NOBODY ASKING ME WHEN IT WILL BE READY.

10787